

The Multiplicative Anomaly of Regularized Functional Determinants

Sergio Zerbini ^{a b*}

^aINFN, Gruppo Collegato di Trento, Sezione di Padova, Italy

^bDipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Trento, Italy

The multiplicative anomaly related to the functional regularized determinants involving products of elliptic operators is introduced and some of its properties discussed. Its relevance concerning the mathematical consistency is stressed. With regard to its possible physical relevance, some examples are illustrated.

It is well known that the vacuum energy related to relativistic quantum fields defined on topological non-trivial manifolds may lead to interesting physical phenomena such as Casimir effects. Within this context, it is crucial the relativistic nature of quantum fields, namely the fact that an infinite number of degrees of freedom is involved.

In the one-loop approximation or in the external field approximation, one may describe quantum (scalar) field by means of path (Euclidean) integral and expressing the Euclidean partition function as

$$Z = (\det A)^{-1/2}, \quad (1)$$

with A an elliptic self-adjoint non negative differential operator. The latter quantity is ill defined, since naively, the determinant of a self-adjoint elliptic operator A , as product of its eigenvalues, is formally divergent. A large class of regularizations of this functional determinant are at disposal and within this class, the finite part, modulo a term depending on the renormalization scale, can be evaluated by means of the zeta-function regularization. When the (D -dimensional) manifold is smooth and compact, the spectrum is discrete and the zeta-function regularized determinant is given by [1, 2] (see also [3])

$$\ln \det(A/M^2) = -\zeta'(0|A) - \zeta(0|A) \ln M^2,$$

where M^2 is a renormalization scale mass and $\zeta(s|A)$ is the zeta function related to A , an elliptic operator, analytically continued in the whole complex plane. It is possible to show that $s = 0$ is regular. Thus $\zeta'(0|A)$ exists and gives the value of the regularized functional determinant.

Now one arrives at a technical but crucial point: the zeta-function regularized determinants do *not* satisfy the relation

$$\ln \det(AB) = \ln \det A + \ln \det B.$$

In fact, in general, there exists the so-called multiplicative anomaly, defined as:

$$a(A, B) = \ln \det(AB) - \ln \det(A) - \ln \det(B),$$

with the determinants of the two elliptic operators, A and B , defined (e.g., regularized) by means of the zeta-function. This anomaly has been discovered by Wodzicki [4]. In the simple but important case in which A and B are commuting invertible self-adjoint elliptic operators of second order, the multiplicative anomaly can be directly evaluated by the Wodzicki formula

$$a(A, B) = \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{res} [(\ln(AB^{-1}))^2], \quad (2)$$

where the non-commutative residue [4] related to a classical pseudo-differential operator Q of order zero may be defined by the logarithmic term in t of the following heat-kernel expansion

$$\operatorname{Tr}(Qe^{-tA}) = \sum_j c_j t^{(j-D)/2} - \frac{\operatorname{res} Q}{2} \ln t + O(t \ln t),$$

where A is an elliptic non negative operator of second order. It is possible to show that $\operatorname{res} Q$

*Contribution to *Quantum Gravity and Spectral geometry*, Napoli July 1-7, 2001.

doesn't depend on A . The non-commutative residue can also be evaluated by means of

$$\text{res } Q = (2\pi)^{-D} \int_{M_D} dx \int_{|k|=1} Q_{-D}(x, k) dk.$$

Here the homogeneity component of order $-D$ of the complete symbol appears. Recall that a classical pseudo-differential operator Q of order zero has a complete symbol $e^{ikx} Q e^{-ikx}$ admitting the following asymptotic expansion, valid for large $|k|$

$$Q(x, k) \simeq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} Q_{-j}(x, k)$$

where the expansion coefficients satisfy the homogeneity property $Q_j(x, \lambda k) = \lambda^{-j} Q_{-j}(x, k)$. Evaluation of the multiplicative anomaly in non-trivial topological manifold can be found in [5].

Physical

examples where multiplicative anomaly appears are the ones related to the presence of internal symmetry with vector valued fields [6]. For its physical relevance, we shall consider first a gas of free charged Bose fields at finite temperature and non vanishing chemical potential. We also assume that the Coulomb interaction can be neglected.

It is well known that, in this case, the grand canonical partition function reads

$$Z_{\beta, \mu} = \int_{\phi(\tau)=\phi(\tau+\beta)} D\phi_i e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3x \phi_i A_{ij} \phi_j}, \quad (3)$$

with

$$A_{ij} = (L_\tau + L_3 - \mu^2) \delta_{ij} + 2\mu \epsilon_{ij} \sqrt{L_\tau},$$

$$L_3 = -\Delta_3 + m^2,$$

Δ_3 being the Laplace operator on R^3 (continuous spectrum $\vec{k}^2$) and $L_\tau = -\partial_\tau^2$ (discrete spectrum over the Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{\beta^2}$), β is the inverse of the temperature and μ is the chemical potential. Thus, the grand canonical partition function may be written as (see, for example, [7] and references therein)

$$\ln Z_{\beta, \mu} = -\ln \det \|A_{ik}\| \dots \quad (4)$$

Now the algebraic determinant, denoted by $|A|$, can be evaluated and gives

$$|A_{ik}| = (K_+ K_-), \quad (5)$$

with $K_\pm = L_3 + (\sqrt{L_\tau} \pm i\mu)^2$. However, it is easy to show that another factorization exists, i.e.

$$|A_{ik}| = (L_+ L_-), \quad (6)$$

with $L_\pm = L_\tau + (\sqrt{L_3} \pm \mu)^2$. Of course, one has $|A_{ik}| = L_+ L_- = K_+ K_-$, and in both cases one is dealing with the product of two pseudo-differential operators (Ψ DOs), the couple L_+ and L_- being also formally self-adjoint. As a consequence, one has to deal with the product of two different pair of operators. Thus, the partition function may be written as

$$\ln Z_{\beta, \mu} = -\ln \det K_+ - \ln \det K_- + a(K_+, K_-), \quad (7)$$

but also as

$$\ln Z_{\beta, \mu} = -\ln \det L_+ - \ln \det L_- + a(L_+, L_-). \quad (8)$$

The evaluation of the multiplicative anomalies which appear in the above expressions can be done making use of the Wodzicki formula and a complete agreement is found between the two expressions of the partition function [7, 9, 10]. Thus, if one *neglects* the multiplicative anomaly, one arrives at a mathematical inconsistency.

Let us come to the possible physical relevance. In the above example, the multiplicative anomaly is linear in β . As a result, it modifies *only* the vacuum structure. In normal situations, namely in absence of spontaneous symmetry breaking, a one-loop calculation shows that it can be reabsorbed in the one-loop renormalization process, or in other words, there is no contribution to one-loop beta function coming from the multiplicative anomaly [6, 8]. Briefly, the argument goes as follows: the one loop beta-function satisfies the one-loop renormalization group equations

$$M \frac{dS(\lambda(M))}{dM} = -\frac{1}{2} \zeta(0|A) + \text{higher loops}, \quad (9)$$

where S is the classical action thought as a function of the running coupling constant $\lambda(M)$ and on the background field. Since

$$A = \text{diag}(-\Delta + M_1^2, -\Delta + M_2^2) = -\Delta \mathbf{1} + V,$$

where M_1 and M_2 are effective masses depending on the running coupling constant and background field and 1 represents the identity operator, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(0|A) &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x \operatorname{tr} V^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2(4\pi)^2} \int d^4x (M_1^4 + M_2^4).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the multiplicative anomaly does not give contribution at one-loop level.

However the situation may change when there exists a spontaneous symmetry breaking. In fact, one may perform a charge renormalization such that in the symmetry breaking phase, one obtains the usual condition for the critical temperature, with a corrected non relativistic limit. However, in the symmetric phase, namely when the temperature is higher than the critical temperature, such charge renormalization leaves a sub-leading contribution in the free energy which depends on the presence of the multiplicative anomaly [7].

Another interesting and related case has been recently considered [11] and involves a self-interacting charged Bose field at finite temperature. This system is highly non trivial, but it can be treated in the large N limit, in order to deal with higher loop contributions. As a consequence, in presence of symmetry breaking, standard renormalization can be done, but it seems that the presence of the multiplicative anomaly cannot be renormalized away and gives rise to additional terms which modify the transition temperature and leads to additional new terms for the pressure. With regards to the possible physical relevance of the multiplicative anomaly, different conclusions are reported in [9, 10].

We conclude with some remarks about first order differential operators. As an example, we consider the Dirac operator K_0 . One again should give a meaning to the formal effective action $\Gamma = \ln \det K_0$. Let us start with massless Euclidean self-adjoint Dirac operator $K_0^+ = K_0$. In this case the spectrum of K_0 on a compact (curved) manifold M of dimension D , is unbounded over the whole real axis. In fact $K_0 = i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu$, $\gamma_\mu = e_k^\mu \gamma^k$ e_k^μ are “viel-bein” fields, ∇_μ is the covariant derivative. There exists an ambiguity associated with

negative eigenvalues and there are *two* inequivalent zeta-functions (see, for example [12, 13] and references quoted therein). As a result, one has

$$\zeta_\pm(s|K_0) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{\mp i\pi s})[\zeta(\frac{s}{2}|L) + \eta(s|K_0)] \quad (10)$$

in which $L = K_0^2$ is the spinor Laplacian and

$$\eta(s|K_0) = \sum_i \lambda_i^{-s} - \sum_i (-\mu_i)^{-s} \quad (11)$$

is the eta function. However, in the even dimensional case $D = 2p$, $\gamma^{D+1} = \gamma^1 \dots \gamma^D$ exists, and eta-function is vanishing by symmetry. As a result, the effective action is proportional to

$$\zeta'_\pm(0|K_0) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta'(0|L) \mp \frac{i\pi}{2}A_p(L) \quad (12)$$

Here $A_p(L)$ are the Seeley-De Witt integral coefficients associated with the second order elliptic operator L . In this case, the ambiguity depends on the local functionals $A_p(L)$, but such terms may be reassorbed by the renormalization process.

In the odd dimensional case $D = 2p + 1$, there is no symmetry in the Dirac spectrum and the spinor effective action reads

$$\zeta'_\pm(0|K_0) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta'(0|L) \pm \frac{i\pi}{2}\eta(0|K_0). \quad (13)$$

Here, the eta invariant $\eta(0|A)$ is a *non* local functional of the external geometrical fields and it *cannot* be removed by the renormalization.

The multiplicative anomaly appears in the massive case, when the Euclidean Dirac operator $K = K_0 + im$ is not hermitian, the eigenvalues being $\lambda_i + im$. In this case, it is possible to show that there is no ambiguity, but again the odd dimensional case is *non* trivial and we have [13]

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta'_+(0|K) &= \frac{1}{2}\zeta'(0|L + m^2) + \frac{i\pi}{2}\eta(0|K_0) \\ &- is(K_0, m) - \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{(-1)^j m^{2j+1} A_{p-j}(L)}{\Gamma(j + \frac{3}{2})}\end{aligned}$$

where the eta-like function contribution appears. It can be evaluated by means of

$$s(K_0, m) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin mt}{t} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{K_0}{|K_0|} e^{-t|K_0|} \right)$$

As a result, the Folk Theorem $\det K = \det K^+ = \sqrt{\det K^+ K}$ strictly speaking, *does not* hold. In fact, the correct formulae for the relevant functional spinor determinants are, for D even

$$\frac{\det K}{\sqrt{\det(L + m^2)}} = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta(0|L+m^2) - \frac{1}{2}a_{2p}(K^+, K)}$$

and for D odd

$$\frac{\det K}{\sqrt{\det(L + m^2)}} = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\eta(0|A) + is(A, m) - \frac{1}{2}a_{2p+1}(K^+, K)},$$

where the multiplicative anomalies are given respectively by

$$a_{2p}(K^+, K) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{(-1)^j m^{2j} c_j}{j!} A_{p-j}(L),$$

with

$$c_j = \sum_{l=1}^j \frac{1}{2l-1}$$

and

$$a_{2p+1}(K^+, K) = \pi \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{(-1)^{j+1} m^{2j+1}}{\Gamma(j + \frac{3}{2})} A_{p-j}(L)$$

As an example, for $D = 4$, one has

$$a_4(K^+, K) = \frac{4}{3} A_0(L) m^4 - 2m^2 A_1(L).$$

It should be noted that the multiplicative anomaly term induces a Einstein-Hilbert term, linear in the scalar curvature, contained in the coefficient $A_1(L)$.

Finally, we also would like mention two other issues where the multiplicative anomaly seems to play a role. The first one is the relationship between the so called covariant and consistent anomaly associated with vector-axial vector non abelian gauge symmetry. In two dimensions, it has been proved in [14] that the difference between the consistent and covariant anomaly can be thought as a multiplicative anomaly effect. The second issue is related to the so called dimensional reduction anomaly [15, 16], an anomaly which is present in quantum field theory once a dimensional reduction is performed and a truncation of the related harmonic sum is done.

REFERENCES

1. J.S. Dowker and R. Critchley, Phys. Rev. **D13** (1976) 3224.
2. S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **55** (1977) 133.
3. E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov, A. Romeo, A.A. Bytsenko and S. Zerbini, Zeta Regularizations with Applications, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994; E. Elizalde, Ten Physical Applications of Spectral Zeta Functions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1995); A.A. Bytsenko, G. Cognola, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, Phys. Reports **266** (1996) 1.
4. M. Wodzicki, Non-commutative Residue, Chapter I, Lecture notes in Mathematics Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1987).
5. I. Brevik, A.A. Bytsenko, A.E. Goncalves and F. L. Williams, J. Phys. **A 31** (1998) 4437.
6. E. Elizalde, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, Commun. Math. Phys. **194** (1998) 613.
7. E. Elizalde, A. Filippi, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 7430.
8. E. Elizalde, G. Cognola and S. Zerbini, Nucl. Phys. **B 532** (1998) 407.
9. J.J. McKenzie-Smith and D.J. Toms, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 105001.
10. T.S. Evans, Vacuum Energy Densities and Multiplicative Anomalies in a Free Bose Gas, Imperial/TP/0-01/17, hep-th/0104128.
11. A. Filippi, New Physics in the charged relativistic Bose gas using zeta-function regularization?, hep-th/0102040
12. S. Deser, L. Griguolo, and D. Seminara, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 7444.
13. G. Cognola, E. Elizalde and S. Zerbini, Dirac Functionals Determinants in terms of Zeta Invariant and Non-commutative Residue, hep-th/9910038.
14. G. Cognola and S. Zerbini, Letts. Math. Phys. **48** (1999) 375.
15. V. Frolov, P. Sutton and S. Zelnikov, Phys. Rev. **B 61** (2000) 02421.
16. G. Cognola and S. Zerbini, Nucl. Phys. **B 602** (2001) 383.